## LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY OFFICE OF FISCAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS

200 W. Washington, Suite 301 Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317) 233-0696 http://www.in.gov/legislative

## FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

**LS 6950 NOTE PREPARED:** Jan 8, 2013

BILL NUMBER: SB 557

BILL AMENDED:

**SUBJECT:** Cumulative Bridge Fund Levy.

FIRST AUTHOR: Sen. Stoops BILL STATUS: As Introduced

FIRST SPONSOR:

FUNDS AFFECTED: GENERAL IMPACT: Local

DEDICATED FEDERAL

<u>Summary of Legislation:</u> This bill provides that the property tax levy limits do not apply to property taxes imposed by a civil taxing unit for a cumulative bridge fund.

Effective Date: July 1, 2013.

**Explanation of State Expenditures:** 

**Explanation of State Revenues:** 

**Explanation of Local Expenditures:** 

**Explanation of Local Revenues:** *Summary*: Eighty-six counties imposed a property tax levy for the cumulative bridge fund in CY 1984. This bill would result in the opportunity for these counties to increase their overall property tax levies by a combined \$22.9 M beginning in CY 2014.

If a county chooses to increase its property tax levy, tax rates for all taxpayers would increase, The higher tax rate would increase exposure to circuit breaker losses for civil taxing units and school corporations in the county.

*Background*: Under current law, the portion of a cumulative fund levy that does not exceed the 1984 levy for that same cumulative fund is inside of, or counts against, the unit's maximum permissible levy. Under this bill, no part of the levy for the cumulative bridge fund would count against the county maximum levy.

SB 557+ 1

Counties that currently impose a levy for the cumulative bridge fund would free up a part of their maximum levy, allowing the county to increase its levies in other levy-controlled funds.

Counties that do not currently impose a levy for the cumulative bridge fund would be able to do so without reducing levies in other levy-controlled funds

Each county's 1984 cumulative bridge fund levy (and the potential 2014 county levy increase) is shown in the table at the end of this fiscal note.

**State Agencies Affected:** Department of Local Government Finance.

**<u>Local Agencies Affected:</u>** Counties; Other civil taxing units and school corporations.

**Information Sources:** Local Government database, DLGF.

Fiscal Analyst: Bob Sigalow, 317-232-9859.

SB 557+ 2

## 1984 Cumulative Bridge Fund Levies (Potential Overall Levy Increase)

| County |             | 1984 Levy | County |            | 1984 Levy | County |             | 1984 Levy  |
|--------|-------------|-----------|--------|------------|-----------|--------|-------------|------------|
| 01     | Adams       | 235,246   | 32     | Hendricks  | 530,120   | 63     | Pike        | 246,476    |
| 02     | Allen       | 695,676   | 33     | Henry      | 259,238   | 64     | Porter      | 263,510    |
| 03     | Bartholomew | 584,749   | 34     | Howard     | 88,040    | 65     | Posey       | 477,424    |
| 04     | Benton      | 91,797    | 35     | Huntington | 264,619   | 66     | Pulaski     | 119,825    |
| 05     | Blackford   | 68,345    | 36     | Jackson    | 337,584   | 67     | Putnam      | 280,712    |
| 06     | Boone       | 369,053   | 37     | Jasper     | 421,293   | 68     | Randolph    | 275,785    |
| 07     | Brown       | 129,740   | 38     | Jay        | 168,622   | 69     | Ripley      | 296,242    |
| 80     | Carroll     | 239,807   | 39     | Jefferson  | 541,753   | 70     | Rush        | 79,151     |
| 09     | Cass        | 278,616   | 40     | Jennings   | 83,150    | 71     | St. Joseph  | 983,554    |
| 10     | Clark       | 308,895   | 41     | Johnson    | 173,329   | 72     | Scott       | 68,030     |
| 11     | Clay        | 50,241    | 42     | Knox       | 168,218   | 73     | Shelby      | 173,995    |
| 12     | Clinton     | 248,788   | 43     | Kosciusko  | 249,361   | 74     | Spencer     | 136,143    |
| 13     | Crawford    | 0         | 44     | LaGrange   | 144,690   | 75     | Starke      | 61,474     |
| 14     | Daviess     | 253,268   | 45     | Lake       | 176,381   | 76     | Steuben     | 73,956     |
| 15     | Dearborn    | 171,711   | 46     | LaPorte    | 483,465   | 77     | Sullivan    | 169,855    |
| 16     | Decatur     | 120,707   | 47     | Lawrence   | 371,712   | 78     | Switzerland | 36,962     |
| 17     | DeKalb      | 312,853   | 48     | Madison    | 697,102   | 79     | Tippecanoe  | 1,258,823  |
| 18     | Delaware    | 711,716   | 49     | Marion     | 0         | 80     | Tipton      | 178,976    |
| 19     | Dubois      | 275,727   | 50     | Marshall   | 249,196   | 81     | Union       | 71,604     |
| 20     | Elkhart     | 383,153   | 51     | Martin     | 0         | 82     | Vanderburgh | 699,043    |
| 21     | Fayette     | 0         | 52     | Miami      | 131,413   | 83     | Vermillion  | 188,239    |
| 22     | Floyd       | 0         | 53     | Monroe     | 349,093   | 84     | Vigo        | 367,792    |
| 23     | Fountain    | 200,264   | 54     | Montgomery | 312,091   | 85     | Wabash      | 323,793    |
| 24     | Franklin    | 129,509   | 55     | Morgan     | 300,410   | 86     | Warren      | 195,412    |
| 25     | Fulton      | 106,713   | 56     | Newton     | 184,169   | 87     | Warrick     | 141,859    |
| 26     | Gibson      | 475,841   | 57     | Noble      | 105,686   | 88     | Washington  | 0          |
| 27     | Grant       | 288,371   | 58     | Ohio       | 18,389    | 89     | Wayne       | 483,577    |
| 28     | Greene      | 92,587    | 59     | Orange     | 106,617   | 90     | Wells       | 135,565    |
| 29     | Hamilton    | 326,350   | 60     | Owen       | 76,215    | 91     | White       | 172,362    |
| 30     | Hancock     | 273,883   | 61     | Parke      | 125,682   | 92     | Whitley     | 135,282    |
| 31     | Harrison    | 136,575   | 62     | Perry      | 81,482    |        | Total       | 22,856,706 |

SB 557+ 3